UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,321	04/13/2001	Jeffrey V. Ravetch	TRU-0005	2584
7590 10/19/2007 MR. MARK DELUCA COZEN O' CONNOR			EXAMINER	
			BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A	
1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1644	
		1		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/834,321	RAVETCH, JEFFREY V.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michail A. Belyavskyi	1644				
	ication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repnunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA!	ATION. All by be timely filed As from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		• *				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 08 August 2007	•				
· · · · ·	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	•				
<u> </u>	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
••	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10-13,15 and 23-58</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10-13,15 and 23-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-58</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•	the Examiner.				
	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	of the priority documents have been re					
application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	TO-948) Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/834,321

Art Unit: 1644

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S AMENDMENT

- 1. Applicant's amendment, filed 08/07/07 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1, 10-13, 15 and 23-58 are pending and under consideration in the instant application.

In view of the amendment, filed 08/07/07, the following rejections remain:

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 10-13, 15 and 23-38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention for the same reasons set forth in the previous Office Action, mailed 06/02/06.

Applicant's arguments, filed 08/07/07 have been fully considered, but have not been found convincing.

Applicant asserts that: (i) while Shields et al., does suggests the desirability of the therapeutics antibodies with reduced affinity to FcγRIIB to retained or improved their binding to activating Fc receptor, Shields does not state that this is a requirement; (ii) one skill in the art would recognized that applicant was in possession of the claimed invention and (iii) though the amount of routine experimentation may be large they are still not to be undue experimentation. (iv) claim 1 define both structure and function of the claimed antibodies.

Contrary to Applicant's assertion, it appears that Applicant and the Examiner differ in their interpretation of Shields et al., reference and the disclosure of the instant Specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,321

Art Unit: 1644

After carefully reading of Shields et al., and the instant Specification, it is the Examiner understanding that to practice the claimed invention, the antibody has to retain or enhanced binding to FcRIIA and FcRIIIa (see page 7 in particular). Shields et al., explicitly teach that " given the possible involvement of FcR in mechanism of action of therapeutic antibodies, human IgG1 variants with improved binding capacity to human FcR, especially variants with better binding to Fc RIIIA and simultaneously abrogation of binding to the inhibitory Fc RIIB could be used to provide more efficacious therapeutic antibody" (emphases added). In other words, the therapeutic antibody should retain or improve its binding to activating Fc receptor, i.e. to FCRIIA and Fc RIII A. Moreover, in the example disclosed in the Specification, it is specifically stated that anti-tumor activity of modified very specific antibody, i.e. 4D5, Herceptin^R and Rituxan ^R each require the binding to activation receptor . i.e. to FcRIIA and FcRIIIa (see ovedrlapping pages 33 and 34 in particular). Since there is no any working examples in the Specification to shows that by only reducing the binding affinity of therapeutic antibody to FcRIIB it is possible to enhance cytotoxicity of said antibody, an undue experimentation would be required to determine which modifications would be acceptable to retain occluding structural and functional activity as required to practice the invention.

With regards to the comments that " claim 1 define both structure and function of the claimed antibodies".

It is the Examiner position that recitation of "Fc region is at least 80 % homologous with native Fc region" is not a define description of a structure of the claimed antibodies that are essential for the claimed function, i.e. to enhance cytotoxicity. As has been stated supra, it is the Examiner position that the claimed antibodies have to retain or enhanced binding to FcRIIA and FcRIIIa to practice the claimed method of enhancing cytotoxicity.

A description of a protein by functional language in the absence of a structure is not considered sufficient to show possession of the claimed invention. See Fiers, 984 F.2d at 1169-71, 25 USPQ2D at 1605-06. It is only a definition of a useful result rather than a definition of what achieves that result. Many species may achieve that result. The definition requirement of the patent statute requires a description of an invention, not an indication of a result that one might achieve if one made that invention. See *In re Wilder*, 736 /f.2d 1516, 1521, 22 USPQ 369, 372-73 (Fed. Cir. 1984) affirming the rejection because the specification does "little more than outline[e] goals appellants hope the claimed invention achieves and the problems the invention will hopefully ameliorate.") Accordingly, naming a type of material generally known to exist, in the absence of knowledge as to what the material consists of (e.g. structural feature), is not a description of that material.

Since the instant fact pattern fails to indicate that representative number of structurally related compounds, i.e. the genus of antibodies that have a reduced binding affinity for FcRIIB, due to modification of the Fc portion of the antibody, that can be use in a method of enhancing cytotoxicity, the artisan would not know the identity of a reasonable number of representative compounds falling within the scope of the instant claims and consequently would not know how

Application/Control Number: 09/834,321

Art Unit: 1644

to make them. An assay for *finding* a product is not equivalent to a positive recitation of *how to make* a product.

Thus, Applicant has not provided sufficient guidance to enable one skill in the art to use claimed method for enhancing cytotoxicity elicited by a therapeutic antibody *in vivo*, which method comprises disrupting activation of SHIP by Fc RIIB in manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims. *In re Fisher*, 166 USPQ 18 indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute.

In view of the quantity of experimentation necessary, the unpredictability of the art, the lack of sufficient guidance in the specification, lack of working examples, and the limited amount of direction provided given the breadth of the claims, it would take undue trials and errors to practice the claimed invention.

- 5. Claims 39-58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. No claim is allowed
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1644

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michail Belyavskyi whose telephone number is 571/272-0840 The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571/272-0841.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAIL BELYAVSKYI, PH.D. PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

10/10/07